

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/331,829	06/23/1999	HIROSHI SUZUKI	1576.77	2131
7	7590 05/29/2003			
Joseph C Mason Jr. Mason & Associates 17757 US Highway 19 North			EXAMINER	
			SELLERS, ROBERT E	
Suite 500 Clearwater, FL	33764		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cidal Water, 12	33704		1712	***************************************
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	54

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				#\$
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer		09/331,829	SUZUKI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Robert Sellers	1712	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatch term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, if you within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6), a cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	cation.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	March 2003 .		
2a)⊠	<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for forma	I matters, prosecution as to the mer 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
	Claim(s) 23 and 25-34 is/are pending in the a	nnlication		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-28 and 30-34</u> is/a		nsideration	
	Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are allowed.	are withdrawn hometo	isideration.	
	Claim(s) 23 is/are rejected.			
· -	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requiremen	•	
,	on Papers	o codon requiremen		
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)□ 1	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		•	
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
:	2. Certified copies of the priority document			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have b	een received in this National Stage	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			otio =\
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application ha	s been received.	auon).
Attachment(- p under 00 0.	33 120 alla/ol 121,	
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·
S. Patent and Tra- TO-326 (Rev.		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 34	

Art Unit: 1712

This application contains claims 25-28 and 30-34 drawn to inventions nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 30. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144).

See MPEP § 821.01.

The 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection is rescinded due to the replacement of the non-enabled "non-curing epoxy resin" with the phrase "epoxy resin prior to cure" in independent claims 23 and 29.

The concession on page 13, the fourth paragraph of the amendment filed March 19, 2003 (Paper No. 33) that the claimed characterization of the tetrakisphenol compound of general formula (I) of claim 23 as a "curing accelerator catalyst" is synonymous with the tetrakisphenol compound as a curing catalyst as described in the specification overcomes this aspect of the aforementioned 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, rejection.

The 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or 103(a) rejection over the Schreiber et al. patents,

Koike et al. or Dewhirst is withdrawn due to the incorporation of the content of

tetrakisphenol of claim 24 into independent claim 23 as suggested on page 7, lines 7-9

of the Office action mailed February 18, 2003.

Application/Control Number: 09/331,829

Art Unit: 1712

The text of section 102(b) of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zupancic et al.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office action. The arguments filed March 19, 2003 have been considered but are unpersuasive.

Zupancic et al. employs tetraphenolethane in an amount of 0.03 mole per mole of epoxy group which is embraced by the claimed range of from 0.001 to 0.1. Although the tetraphenolethane is designated as a chain extender, its use in an equivalent proportion within an equivalent composition comprising an epoxy resin and curing agent does not differentiate it from the function of the claimed tetrakisphenol as a curing accelerator catalyst. It is admitted on page 14, the third paragraph of the specification that "the tetrakisphenol compound that forms the said clathrate is a compound that is conventionally known as an addition-type curative."

The function of the tetraphenolethane of Zupancic et al. is not confined to its performance as a chain-extender, especially considering the use of a quantity well within the claimed parameters. Example 38 (col. 22) does not react the tetraphenolethane until the epoxy resin is blended with both the tetraphenolethane and N-benzyl-dicyandiamide curing agent. Thus, the tetraphenolethane is available to chain-extend and participate in the curing of the epoxy resin equivalent to its presence in an equivalent level within the claimed composition.

Application/Control Number: 09/331,829

Art Unit: 1712

Zupancic et al. does not recite the tetrakisphenol of claim 28 which acts as the

host compound enveloping a curing agent as denoted by the term "clathrate."

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed

within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is

not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then

the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and

any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date

of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire

later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

(703) 308-2399 (Fax no. (703) 872-9311)

Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00 EST

Robert Sellers Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1712

rs

5/28/03